

Different Shades of Public Spaces

ABSTRACT

This paper questions the significance of moving public forms of art to the virtual environment for our wellbeing in public spaces, drawing on the example of street art. It addresses the way in which we value public space, and the role played in this sense by public art interventions. The main reference drawn is from a series of street art projects that have triggered an interdisciplinary conversation between an artist and urban designer and an economist. Based on our joint reflections and interactions with the online community through podcasts and social media, this paper ultimately highlights opportunities to integrate virtual and urban street art towards a more inclusive public space and culture.

1. Introduction

The paper set out to paint alternative images of public spaces that draw on an interdisciplinary dialogue and contrast perspectives on what is valuable in the public space. We first consider real and virtual environments shaping up during the pandemic and blurring the traditional distinction between the private and the public space. Here, the main argument and collaborative reflection on the value of public space is mediated by the experience of a street art festival and its essential move to the virtual sphere in 2020, as *Virtual Fisart*. Going out from *Fisart* - the street art festival started in the city of Timisoara, and [Virtual Fisart](#) - its online only manifestation during the pandemic, we experiment here with a dimension of enquiry reflected in the arts-based research movement (e.g. Gerber et. al 2020). The reflection is also grounded in a project on the economics of public art (see Harding, 2019) where street art and its value in the public space have been the main subject of analysis.

Ultimately, the shift of our daily interactions to the virtual world provided us with the opportunity to rethink the impact of interventions anchored in the local urban environment, through a framework floating in a universal, online public space. This shift invited us to reassess the accessibility of culture in the public space, allowing also for comparison of street art that is created in the real, versus the virtual sphere. Ultimately, the experience of virtual street art and spaces has made us reconsider how we can enhance the way in which we shape

the world around us. It has also led us to question what constitutes a more inclusive public space, and how that can be enhanced through street art.

The public space is recaptured in this paper, from its conception as a busy transit place, to a place of destination and contemplation. That is contrasted with an emphasis on public infrastructure in traditional urban design, where people are helped to move away from shared spaces. Such practice reinforces separate private spaces that define sometimes prosperous individual daily lives but would ultimately trigger separateness and frequently results in urban decline (see for e.g. Glaeser, 2011). In contrast, the expression of public art and design in public spaces allows for the coming together of various dimensions, from the visual to the acoustic side of our perceptions, through a unifying human agency and towards cohesion and general well-being.

The public space shaping up during the pandemic has meant a frequent need to merge the private and public sphere, along with our personal and professional lives. By additionally involving individuals from different professions and backgrounds in our original dialogue¹ (from architects to disability activists) our reflection has also acquired a participatory research dimension, reflecting amongst others on how disability or social deprivation can be breached through art and design intervention. Ultimately, as we have transcended real and virtual spaces, we have noted new opportunities to consider street art, as a tool to promote a more inclusive urban environment and shared public spaces.

2. Valuing Public Space: between a real and virtual platform for cultural goods

While the public space of our cities has been seen in most recent times as a place of struggle, where people gather to challenge established power structures (Bravo 2018), the public space has been historically designed to capture and reflect back the well-being promoted by the urban context. In parallel with the notion of well-being in the urban space, economic development is also measured by the conditions prevailing in such local or urban markets.

¹ . We have produced a podcast during the pandemic characterised by the long period of confinement and migration to the online environment supporting our present inquiry and new perspectives on what is valuable in public spaces (see [‘Let’s talk about public spaces’](#)).

Nevertheless, well-being for both individuals and the public is known to ensue from a variety of factors beyond the market. Such factors are disjoint from the traditional economic value quantifiable by prices paid in exchange for goods and services consumed. A good example is the satisfaction we derive from freely available public cultural goods and access to these, both today and across time and space.²

There are increasingly louder voices questioning our 'work-life' balance and interaction with others, as the implication of the concept indicates that *life* happens somewhere outside the workplace - with an unclear space of reference. As social beings we are devoting a large part of our efforts to sustain the experience of and the 'highly valued' time interacting with others, that is, time dedicated to families, friends, and maybe our expanding virtual social networks. Within such spaces of interaction, and where the quality of life is being defined, the main exchange we seek is for: support, information, art and ideas - all having the ability to contribute to the level of well-being. Beyond our daily interactions with more or less remote contemporaries in an extending virtual public space, we also have the privilege of exchanging information across different time frameworks, through the work created by our cultural and artistic heritage. In economic jargon, we would be internalising the utility generated by cultural goods over time. The virtual space in this sense substitutes the market space, and has the ability to synthesise and sometimes recreate such inter-temporal goods, accessible as global public goods online (from access to music, to books or whole museum galleries online). In this line of reasoning, we might reverse the process by which spatiality and real space loses relevance for human interaction and towards our accessibility of cultural and artistic goods, not unlike in the conceptualisation of the 'invisible hand' of the market. The ever-increasing pervasiveness of communication technologies links people and allows for instantaneous exchanges, in the virtual realm, while also reconfiguring the meaning and the traditional aspects of the public space – such as its role to generate well-being in the traditional urban context. As the pandemic has shown us, we are craving direct and human interaction in the real, public space. While the limitless virtual space has allowed our public spaces to reconfigure

² See, for example Frey (2019) for a discussion on the value of art and culture as understood by cultural economists.

and the public arts to survive, the manner in which they appear to us cannot easily substitute the original format.

Moreover, limitless as our online public space might seem, it comes with serious limitations for those usually on the fringes of the public space, who are negotiating access along new limitations, from the availability of connectivity, to the ability to enter that virtual space – easily constrained by disability or age or even social skills. Should we extend consideration to the access given to cultural goods and public art in virtual spaces, the constraints are reinforced here; from the lack of possibility of ‘random encounters’ with the art in real public spaces to the ability to enjoy cultural goods enhanced by human interaction – such as the experience of a live gig or the theatre. Yet, and despite such limitations, the migration of public art forms to the virtual space, such as in the example of street art that we have used as a point of departure in our discussion, have allowed us to both appreciate and reappraise the value we derive from alternative forms of public spaces. The next section looks more closely at the way in which street art, which has been inherently constructed as an inclusive art form, accessible to all in the public space, has redefined itself and learnt from the experience of numerous lockdowns. In this sense it has both the potential to re-emerge as a more enhanced form of art and review its mission upon return to real public spaces – achieving a more forceful interaction with everyone in the public space and allowing for enhanced inclusion and representation in the public sphere.

3. Artists’ intervention in the public spaces

Attracted by the dynamics of the city, by urban life, there has always been a desire for research and expression in the public space. Each wall and building have a story to tell. They have a special path in history, and each of these elements are unique in their own ways. The city becomes a living ideological and material organism loaded with meaning, values, signs and symbols. It is the scene of spontaneous artistic intervention: The “beautiful city”, the “public city”, the “social city”. The urban space is - at least as a Kantian ‘regulatory ideal’ - the city of the future that, even if only in its micro-interventions, contemporary art aims at. This is precisely the offer and challenge of artists to the political and economic sphere in which it operates. Along with the architectural -

heritage and aesthetic-cultural urban regeneration, policy makers must consider that wider and deeper, proper significance of the public space accrues to the social dimension of the city for all. As the philosopher Henri Lefebvre put it in a famous proposition, this is the 'right to the city' (e.g. Ghu, 2016).

In a careful reading of what the 'right to the city' implies, it emerges that this does not refer to a nostalgic and sentimental call to return to the past. Instead, it recognises the importance of urban space as making 'the right to the city' a requirement and a right - to information, as well as art and culture, and the use of services. Here users make known their ideas about the time and space of their activities in urban areas, accessing the main part of the city or its centre core. Following the seminal publication in 1968, Henri Lefebvre wrote many works on the city, urbanism and spaces, the best known being *The Production of Space* (e.g. Lefebvre, 2016). This is directly related to the 'right to the city', stating the need to acknowledge the socio-multidisciplinary dimension of space. The argument goes on to suggest that giving empty spaces a meaning becomes the common practice in various stages for artistic and expressive interventions. Lefebvre understood urban space as a continuous, active process in everyday life. His view which we are adopting here emphasizes the daily experiences of urban space, describing the concept of the 'right to the city' as the right to act and make public space more attractive. It is precisely through such spontaneous interventions on space that the artist considers having a major impact on the evolution of urban life.

4. Cultural policy and arts and design interventions towards inclusivity in public spaces

The dimension of public space that we are following more closely in the context of our discussion refers to culture and art in its urban manifestation. Public art and culture initiatives are framed most frequently with reference to a regional or municipal area, for which policy strategies can be enacted for the promotion of so-called 'creative cities' or towards 'placemaking'. Related endeavours are typically associated from a policy perspective with strategies for local economic development. Yet, in light of the 'right to the city' concept these need to be re-evaluated. We make hence reference to the potential dissociation signalled

earlier on, between well-being for the individuals to which the 'right to the city' pertains and local economic prosperity.

The practical question for art and cultural policy can be furthermore reviewed in light of its ability and stated purpose to promote equity and diversity - beyond economic impact.

Placemaking in itself has been often identified in the literature as an exclusionary practice, either reinforcing patterns of resource concentration and wider national priorities, or simply promoting unjust practices and failing to mobilize and engage local communities. Such critiques emerge, for example, both in the case of evaluations undertaken for the European Capital of Culture project and the analysis of placemaking practices in the USA (See Ooi, 2014; Thiel, 2015; or Zitcer, 2020).

Ashley et al (2021) focuses on inclusivity, diversity and equity in US local art and cultural policy planning and identifies three broad dimensions on which success could be assessed in terms of meeting these objectives. First, there is the question of who is drafting the plans towards an inclusive strategy and to what extent the arts and cultural policy reflects on the demographics in its reference area. Then comes the question of whether there are explicit references to diversity, inclusion, and equity as explicit goals in policy statements. Finally comes the question on how and by whom such plans and goals are operationalised and implemented, through specific steps.

The research by Ashley et al (2021) reveals that only a very limited number of urban or regional cultural plans are able to clearly define what inclusivity means, drawing from the context of 64 US municipal areas investigated by the authors. Though generic diversity statements appear more frequently in recent planning, they are infrequently linked to the specific demographics or marginalised communities that they could be expected to address. One point to carry forward from earlier studies is that facilitation of an inclusive public space needs to be better informed by differential individual perceptions and expectations, and to provide opportunities for engagement of diverse community groups with public art and culture projects in their local area.

A recent reflection on disability and access to the market in a built environment context has been proposed by Eskyté (2019). The author discusses visual impairment and design in public spaces that exposes *ableism* and *auto-centred* systems in society and in our daily lives as consumers. The dominant feature in urban space design reflects the use by the able bodied, with public infrastructure being dominated by the car and its usage – a convenience for the able bodied but a threat for pedestrians and certainly for the visually impaired navigating the urban space. The disabled user of the public space has two options: either to avoid it altogether or take a disproportionate risk. Otherwise, one retreats into designated safe spaces, a situation with which the majority of the population might empathise during a pandemic.

For the visually impaired, limited availability of audible traffic lights and the lack of information in accessible formats including Braille or tactile paths, but also the ignorance and exclusion through prejudice by fellow citizens are regular barriers encountered in the public sphere. Moreover, all such barriers and limitations to access of public spaces have been heightened with social distancing. As cars whiz by at higher speeds and sounds are changing in the public space, social distancing means deprivation of the most significant tool of all, the helping hand of fellow citizens for those with disabilities.

Urban structures and human beings are interconnected through various activities that form an entire complex and dynamic that is the city. However, as some ‘urban actors’ are isolated, their consumption and accessibility of public space disappears. In fact, accessibility to public art and cultural goods for the disabled and those socially isolated are limited in times of social distancing and normal times alike. We would look into some proposals that focus on accessibility and social inclusion of arts and urban design, including projects already completed in response to the needs of people with disabilities.

5. Moving street art from the real to the virtual public space

The visual dimension of our real urban environment has been temporarily reduced to our own private dwellings, whereas the virtual space has filled the void of the emptying real public sphere. Our first question is how street art itself can be reconfigured to facilitate ongoing access and inclusivity of what normally belongs to public spaces. Then, can the virtual space

substitute or complement and perhaps enhance the public space and street art beyond such temporary closures? Finally, what can public artists do in the virtual space to which the pandemic temporarily confines them, and what can they learn from the experience of such public space closures?

We know that public space is a common value, a meeting place, including in the virtual space created by new technologies. Let us remind the reader in this context of the perception of street art over many years as an illegal activity, unworthy on the art market and classified as delinquency rather than creation of value in the public space. Hence, we first need to reframe such works of art in public spaces as a new way to enhance and reflect the well-being of society, even where it sometimes generates negative reactions from the public and local authorities. While acts of vandalism are condemned and are usually labelled as such under various manifestations of graffiti, street art in its positive acceptance is frequently encouraged, with the goal of cultural and aesthetic enrichment of the urban space. Being figurative and accessible, street art reaches an audience reluctant to the supposed hermetic character of contemporary art. Moreover, street art as captured by anyone in photographs taken in public spaces flows easily with the stream of images that feed social networks.

We can say that photography has been, is and will always be an important component for planning, making and documenting works of art in public space in the context of urban aesthetics. The photos taken are furthermore an integral part of the planning process of various works in the architectural and urban context and are the only certain way in which we would be able to access and understand street art in the future. This is because photography remains unchanged, while the work in situ will by design alter over time, or even permanently disappear.

6. Case study: *Fisart* as street art between the real and the virtual public space

From the temptation to intervene in the public space, to experiment artistically with visual codes that reflect different ideals, messages and perceptions about the world, the *Fisart* (i.e. the Timișoara International Street Art Festival) concept was born. Over the years it acquired public artistic value and became internationally recognised thanks to renowned international artists

contributing to the city's public space. The city of Timisoara has emerged as a scene where the possibilities of artistic expression are unlimited, accessible, and never finalised. *Fisart* is the most representative Street Art festival in Romania, transforming in just a few years the city of Timișoara into a museum of street art and bringing together various approaches and styles, as well as the city's inhabitants and its visitors.

The 'right to the city' for artists corresponds to a development of the city's image through visual and artistic regeneration of the urban public space. The transformation of the city, the changes in the existence and structure of human communities, the evolution of architectural forms, new ways of artistic expression as generated by new techniques, technologies and materials, have influenced and transformed in the last century the typology of Urban Art or Art in the Public Space (APS). Moreover, as a form of public art, it is essentially more involved in the life of the community to which this art form belongs. APS has been in fact conceived as a kind of urban art workshop - as performance in progress. The events that *Fisart* proposes in this context brings to the public freshness, and an extraordinary variety of themes, languages and techniques of urban art forms, along with awareness through direct and indiscriminatory public exposure.

As new technologies are taking over at astonishing speed, we find ourselves in a virtual space, somewhat simplifying if not substituting the perception of real public space. We are witnessing a shift in the boundaries between public space and virtual space where 'street art' itself has adapted along with virtual work patterns in various domains. As such it has gained new relevance through the possibility to prepare works in the virtual space and introducing the new phrase of 'making street art from home'. This is the route of crossing street art - as found in the usual context of photography of real street art and shared online- into the virtual realm where we conceptualise it into 'virtual streetart'. One of its prominent manifestations can be found in the *Virtual Fisart* project promoted by Corina Nani, as a form of 'making street art from home'. Some examples of work produced in this framework during 2020 can be seen below, from the series 'At the Window' created by Corina Nani in a residential area of the city of Timisoara. While it currently remains in the virtual domain, it presents urban dwellers with new possibilities and aesthetics that can be brought into there real public space, at the end of an era of public

confinement.



Fig. 2. *Virtual Fisart*, 'At the Window' series by Corina Nani, 2020.

In this instance photography has the role of mediation, of support through which we can transform the image of the city in which we live through artistic expressions and interventions into a work of art in its own right. In practice, within *Virtual Fisart*, by using the photos of city infrastructure, primarily from Timisoara - Romania and Frankfurt - Germany, the initiative challenged various artists from around the world to imagine what these cities would look like as art galleries. Artists integrated virtually their own creations on the surfaces of buildings (photographs thereof), with the resulting works of art becoming unique examples of opening and creating bridges of communication in a virtual public space with global dimensions.

This new form of public art creates a lot of new opportunities and changes in the way art is experienced and made available to many people around the world, through a virtual museum. Moving artistic creations into the virtual space also offers answers to various current problems: from the formation of identities and landmarks, to aspects of public awareness, sustainability, socialization, integration and conservation of existing heritage – accessible to all.

Following the analysis of the works received from participating artists within the *Virtual project Fisart - Making Street Art from Home*, the process of transferring street art into the virtual space was carried out as a first stage. This leads now to another step in the project, with reflection on the way in which art in the public space can capture an ever-wider audience and perspectives beyond the confinement of the city space. Based on the collection of photos produced, a digital map of the cities can be created, as a modern virtual reflection that emphasizes various perceptions and the recognition of shared public urban spaces.

Ultimately, artists involved in the *Virtual Fisart* project and Corina Nani as curator, hopes to integrate selected works of art from the virtual space onto the real city walls, after evaluating the public response and views on a set of different works developed on the photographic canvas of the same buildings. That way, the objective is to generate an inclusive information feedback loop, having first used a virtual space drawing on information from the physical space and then reintegrating work created in the virtual space, 'from home', to the real public space. This is to be enjoyed in the urban environments providing the original canvass and with an input from a

global public viewing the works on platforms such as Instagram or Facebook, before street art would become reality in the original streets and urban space.

7. Tackling diversity and social deprivation through street art

While crossing disciplines, our conversation captured the dimension of inclusive public spaces through art more specifically. We thereby explore how street art can activate and reflect beyond its own mission of public space intervention per se and bring art directly to marginalised communities. We identified a piece of street art created by Corina Nani during *Fisart 2020*, in the school yard of a socio-economically deprived area with many ethnic minority children, in the city of Timisoara. The explicit message of the resulting mural depicted in Figure 1 has been inscribed in the presence of children playing in the school yard during their recesses and announcing that they might be ‘different but they use this space together’. The artist has seen the children as co-creators, and beneficiaries alike, while extending the public dimension of the space and inviting everyone to support the message in the city.



'Different but Together' mural at 'Scoala Generala 1 Timisoara' by Corina Nani, 2020

Another aspect of public space highlighted by our conversation is the role and capacity of public art and design to include those classified as disabled based on physical constraints. A specific

challenge of street art in this sense is to find modalities to address those with visual impairment, given the lack of immediate options for engagement with a form of public art usually materialising as large murals on the city walls.

The implications of a lack of access to visual art in its usual public manifestation is perhaps easier to scrutinise in a context where the pandemic has 'closed' the *public space* for everyone. The natural outlet of urban art, and as such the access to public art has disappeared from our visual sphere - and public artists seek to reinvent their act, as a priority. Such innovation might allow more readily to think about artistic interventions allowing for inclusion in the public space and through street art of those with visual impairment. By referring to virtual street art we introduce an alternative for the continuation of accessible street art where the artists themselves are excluded from public spaces - or their very workplace. Here we reflect on wider practices allowing for access to public art for the visually impaired and specific street art interventions.

Next, we review what public art and design can offer to the visually impaired and go beyond the imminent difficulties experienced by the visually impaired in navigating the public space, with social distancing norms in place. A relevant example has been identified through the pedagogical and arts practice of Corina Nani, within the project entitled 'The Real Transposed in the Dark'. This is the result of research undertaken by a student engaging with people with visual impairment, and mapping for them a city guide (Padureanu, 2018).

The underlying research project represented an 'imaginary border' between visual art, design, perception and the world of the blind. In this world the notion of 'visual' and references to any optics are complete unknowns. They become useless and devoid of real value because they do not necessarily have an application, are misunderstood or perceived in a highly restrictive manner. As the experiences of visual spaces range from the impossible – for those who never had sight, or are limited to prior experiences - for those who had sight in the past, communicating space in a present visual representation is meaningless. For the able bodied this is the 'experience that we live in every moment of our existence as long as we keep our eyes open.' (The Institute, 2018) – but certainly this is not so for the blind.

We encounter some street artists who show interest in providing access to cultural goods and that includes the visually impaired. For example, one of the most famous graffiti artists is *The Blind*. The originality of his works stems from the desire to develop the accessibility of art in public space for as many people as possible, and he confesses that he 'found it important to give a voice to the disabled, to give access to culture for the visually impaired [people] and [...] to open the eyes of the general public to the world in which we live'(The Blind, 2021.)

Essentially, as the artist is using screen printing, the practice allows him to integrate in the public space a lot of three-dimensional messages, written in Braille. Here are some of the messages used by the street artist: "Let's stop bawling in the dark", "to touch with clean hands", "seen and review". They allow for the development of a 'social vision' and access to what is essentially a manifestation of visual art. In sum, in order to understand and access the work, the sighted and the blind help each other.

8. Conclusion: from virtual street art to more inclusive public spaces

Introducing an interdisciplinary conversation and discussing our urban versus personal spaces in the context of real versus virtual manifestations of public art, allowed us to deconstruct and evaluate layers and shades within contemporary public spaces. By moving through neighbourhoods and considering certain places and their virtual representation and examples of street art we also touched upon the opportunity to generate inclusivity in the public space.

The *Virtual Fisart* project referred in this paper and the digital map hosting pictures of buildings, from various angles - allowed us to assess the interest generated by different urban public spaces, along with the public art that they host. Following the digital footprint of viewings of such works of art on various platforms, we might estimate for the future the value attached by the public to street art in alternative public spaces, and align art interventions with the interest of those encountering it in the urban setting. A conceivable step is thus to transpose the most appreciated virtual works of art into the real public space, after having gathered valuable information on the public reactions to virtual pieces of street art.

Overall, we were interested to understand in our collaborative project how temporary closures of the urban public space have continued our interest in its content and representation in the

virtual world. Next, it would be interesting to explore how online public engagement differs with work of virtual public art designed on a photographic canvas, as opposed to work which has been created in reality and then transferred to a digital canvas. Such exploration should be subject of a separate project, with a focus on changing perceptions and the value we attach to alternative representations of the arts and the 'different shades' of our public space.

This paper is the result of a collaborative project between the authors, Liliana Harding at the University of East Anglia and Corina Nani at the West University of Timisoara - Faculty of Fine Art and Design. Our underlying conversations have benefitted from the additional involvement in a series of related podcasts by Francoise Pamfil, an architect at the Ion Mincu University of Architecture and Urbanism Bucharest, as well as colleagues from related fields of study and representatives of various groups in society. The series of podcasts underpinning the ideas under discussion in this article at: ['Let's talk about public spaces'](#) [currently available in Romanian under the title 'In cautarea spatiului public'] has benefitted from further interventions and invaluable, alternative insights from our invited speakers.

References:

Ashley, A. J., Loh, C. G. , Bubb, K. and Durham, L. (2021) 'Diversity, equity, and inclusion practices in arts and cultural planning', *Journal of Urban Affairs*, DOI: 10.1080/07352166.2020.1834405.

Bravo, L. (2018). 'Public Urban Happiness, that is the making of our own world'. *The Journal of Public Space*, 3(2), 1-4, DOI 10.32891/jps.v3i2.1116.

Eskyté, I. (2019). 'When Accessibility of Public Space Excludes. Shopping experience of people with vision impairments', *The Journal of Public Space*, 4(4), 37-60, DOI 10.32891/jps.v4i4.1233.

Frey, B. S. (2019). 'Economics of Art and Culture'. *Part of SpringerBriefs in Economics book series*. Springer: Cham.

Gerber, N., Biffi, E., Biondo, J., Gemignani, M., Hannes, K., Siegesmund, R. (2020). 'Arts-Based Research in the Social and Health Sciences: Pushing for Change with an Interdisciplinary Global Arts-Based Research Initiative.' *Forum : Qualitative Social Research*, 21 (2), Art.No. 30, 1-15. doi: 10.17169/fqs-21.2.3496.

Glaeser, E. (2011). *Triumph of the City*. London: Macmillan.

Ghiu, Bogdan (2016) *The urban city and 'the right to the city'*. Available [Online in Romanian] at: <https://www.revistasinteza.ro/orasul-urban-si-dreptul-la-oras>.

Lefebvre, H. (1968). *Le Droit à la ville* [The right to the city] (2nd ed.). Paris: Anthropos.

Lefebvre, H. (2016). *The production of space*. 15th ed. Malden: Blackwell.

Nani, C. (2020) 'VIRTUAL FISART. Making Street Art From Home. Hosted by EnduRomania Foundation'. Available [Online] at: <http://virtualfisart.enduromania.net/>

Ooi, C. S., et al. (2014). "Poetics and Politics of the European Capital of Culture Project." *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*. vol. 148. pp.420-27.

Padureanu, C. (2018) -TOUCH DESIGN. THE REAL TRANSPOSED INTO DARKNESS. *Orientation map of the city of Timișoara for the blind*. Diploma project presented at the largest festival dedicated to graduates of creative faculties - a project initiated by 'The Institute'. Available [Online in Romanian] at: <https://institute.ro/diploma/cezara-carla-padureanu-design-tactil-realul-transpus-in-intuneric-suport-de-ghidaj-orientativ-al-orasului-timisoara-pentru-nevazatori-3965.html>.

Thiel, J. (2017). 'Creative cities and the reflexivity of the urban creative economy'. *European Urban and Regional Studies*, 24(1). pp. 21-34. doi:10.1177/0969776415595105.

The Blind (2021). Works by a specialist in street art in Braille. Available [Online] at: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=cRVt8it_Phs&t=3s.

Zitcer, A. (2020). Making Up Creative Placemaking. *Journal of Planning Education and Research*, 40(3), 278–288. doi.org/[10.1177/0739456X18773424](https://doi.org/10.1177/0739456X18773424).